

Primrose Lane Design Brief

Schedule of responses

a) **10 residents** commented, précised as follows:-

- 1 – doesn't support proposals - more dwellings = more noise and more pollution
- 2 – concerned about proximity and height of any new development, would like to keep older buildings
- 3 - supports proposals but concerned about parking, congestion, pressure on health services
- 4 – doesn't support proposals – keep buildings, two storeys maximum
- 5 – doesn't support proposals – keep Primrose Centre
- 6 – supports proposals – retain the existing buildings
- 7 – doesn't support proposals – more cars
- 8 – supports proposals – keep at least one of the buildings, keep to two storey, traffic
- 9 – doesn't support proposals
- 10 – supports proposals with reservations, overlooking to properties on Tennis Court Avenue, and concern over boundary to property

b) **Huntingdon Town Council's** précised preference is for option B, retaining the Primrose Centre, and considered worthy of conservation.

c) **Huntingdon and Godmanchester Civic Society** would prefer Option B, as this allows the development at its centre to have a good landmark building tying the new build to the historic past. Retention of this building will also distinguish the site from other developments in the town.

d) The **CPRE** précised comments state that both the two older buildings are of important vernacular character to the area and are of historical importance to the town. They believe that they should be preserved and converted to residential use, and support a medium to high density development.

Mike Huntington
13 June 2008